arXiv:1411.4409v4 [math.MG] 18 Jan 2016

On the Covering Densities of Quarter-Convex Disks

Kirati Sriamorn, Fei Xue

January 19, 2016

Abstract

It is conjectured that for every convex disks K, the translative covering density of K and the lattice covering density of K are identical. It is well known that this conjecture is true for every centrally symmetric convex disks. For the non-symmetric case, we only know that the conjecture is true for triangles [1]. In this paper, we prove the conjecture for a class of convex disks (quarter-convex disks), which includes all triangles and convex quadrilaterals.

1 Introduction

An n-dimensional *convex body* is a compact convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with an interior point. A 2-dimensional convex body is call a *convex disk*. The measure of a set S will be denoted by $|S|$. The closure and the interior of S are denoted by $\overline{S}$ and $Int(S)$, respectively. The cardinality of S is denoted by $card\{S\}$.

The *lower density* of a family $\mathcal{F} = \{K_1, K_2, \ldots\}$ of convex disks with respect to a bounded domain D is defined as

$$d_-(\mathcal{F}, D) = \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}, K \subset D} |K|.$$

We define the *lower density* of the family $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$d_-(\mathcal{F}) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} d_-(\mathcal{F}, rB^2),$$

where B^2 denotes the unit disk centered at the origin.

Remark 1.1. We can also define $d_-(\mathcal{F})$ by using I^2 instead of B^2, where I^2 denotes the square $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$.

A family $\mathcal{F} = \{K_i\}$ of convex disks is called a *covering* of a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, if $D \subseteq \bigcup_i K_i$. A family $\mathcal{F} = \{K_i\}$ is called a *packing* of D, if $\bigcup_i K_i \subseteq D$ and $Int(K_i) \cap Int(K_j) = \emptyset$, for $i \neq j$. A family $\mathcal{F}$ which is both a packing and a covering of D is called a *tiling* of D.

Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and K is a convex disk, we define

$$K + x = \{y + x \ : \ y \in K\}.$$

Let X be a discrete set of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Denote by $K + X$ the family $\{K + x\}_{x \in X}$. We call $K + X$ a *translative covering* (of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with copies of K), if $K + X = \mathbb{R}^2$. In addition, if X is a lattice, we call $K + X$ a *lattice covering*.

The *translative covering density* $\vartheta_T(K)$ of convex disk K is defined by the formula

$$\vartheta_T(K) = \inf_{\mathcal{F}} d_-(\mathcal{F}),$$

the infimum being taken over all translative coverings $\mathcal{F}$ with K. The *lattice covering density* $\vartheta_L(K)$ is defined similarly by the formula

$$\vartheta_L(K) = \inf_{\mathcal{F}_L} d_-(\mathcal{F}_L),$$

the infimum being taken over all lattice coverings $\mathcal{F}_L$ with K. These infima are attained. By definition, it is obvious that

$$\vartheta_T(K) \leq \vartheta_L(K). \tag{1}$$

Let $m(K, l)$ denote the minimal number of translative copies of K, of which the union can cover lI^2. It can be deduced that

$$\vartheta_T(K) = \liminf_{l \to \infty} \frac{m(K, l)|K|}{|lI^2|}. \tag{2}$$

In 1950 Fáry studied lattice coverings [2], with a convex disk K, which is not necessarily symmetrical. Fáry's results immediately imply that

$$\vartheta_L(K) = \frac{|K|}{|h_s(K)|},$$

for each convex disk K, where $h_s(K)$ denotes the *largest centrally symmetric hexagon* contained in K. He proved also that, for each convex disk K,

$$\vartheta_L(K) \leq \frac{3}{2},$$

with equality only when K is a triangle. Several years later, L. Fejes Tóth proved [4] that for every convex disk K we have

$$\vartheta_T(K) \geq \frac{|K|}{|h(K)|},$$

where $h(K)$ denotes a hexagon of maximum area which is contained in K. By a theorem of Dowker [3], if K is centrally symmetric, then $h(K)$ can be obtained by inscribing in K a *centrally symmetric* hexagon. Since translates of

any centrally symmetric hexagon can be arranged to form a plane lattice tiling, it immediately follows that for each centrally symmetric convex disk K, we have

$$\vartheta_T(K) = \vartheta_L(K) = \frac{|K|}{|h(K)|}. \tag{3}$$

Bambah and Rogers [6] conjectured that $\vartheta_T(K) = \vartheta_L(K)$ holds for any convex disks K. Unfortunately, we know very little about the non-symmetric case. It was not even known whether the conjecture is true for triangles [6], until J.Januszewski [1] has shown in 2010 that for any triangles T, $\vartheta_T(T) = \frac{3}{2}$.

Now we will define a class of convex disks, which includes all triangles and convex quadrilaterals (by using affine transformation). Let f be a convex and non-increasing continuous function with $f(0) = 1$ and $f(1) \geq 0$. We define the convex disk K_f by

$$K_f = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq 1 \ , \ 0 \leq y \leq f(x)\}.$$

For a convex disk K, we call K a *quarter-convex disk*, if K and K_f are affinely equivalent, for some f. Throughout this paper, we assume that $K = K_f$ and let

$$S_K^v = \{(0, y) : 0 \leq y \leq 1\}, \ S_K^h = \{(x, 0) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\},$$

and

$$C_K = \overline{\partial K \setminus (S_K^v \cup S_K^h)},$$

where ∂K is the boundary of K.



Figure 1: the convex disk K

We will prove the following theorem

Theorem 1.2. *For each quarter-convex disk K,*

$$\vartheta_T(K) = \vartheta_L(K).$$

2 Some Definitions and Lemmas

Before proving the main theorem, we will first give some useful definitions and lemmas.

Cut Operator $\ominus$

When $K' = K + u$, we denote by $C_{K'}$ the curve $C_K + u$ and denote by $S^h_{K'}$ and $S^v_{K'}$ the line segments $S^h_K + u$ and $S^v_K + u$, respectively.

Let $K_1 = K + u_1$ and $K_2 = K + u_2$, where $u_1 \neq u_2$. Suppose that $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$. If $C_{K_1} \cap C_{K_2} = \emptyset$, then there is exactly one $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $C_{K_i} \cap K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$, and we can define

$$K_i \sqcap K_j = K_1 \cap K_2$$

and

$$K_j \sqcap K_i = \emptyset,$$

where $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$.

If $C_{K_1} \cap C_{K_2} \neq \emptyset$, then $S^v_{K_i} \cap S^h_{K_j} \neq \emptyset$, where either $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ or $(i, j) = (2, 1)$. We define

$$K_i \sqcap K_j = K_1 \cap K_2 \cap L_{x_0}$$

and

$$K_j \sqcap K_i = K_1 \cap K_2 \cap R_{x_0},$$

where

$$x_0 = \min\{x : (x, y) \in C_{K_1} \cap C_{K_2}\},$$

and L_{x_0}, R_{x_0} are the half planes $\{(x, y) : x < x_0\}$ and $\{(x, y) : x \geq x_0\}$, respectively.



Figure 2: $K_i \sqcap K_j$

Obviously, we have

$$(K_1 \sqcap K_2) \cap (K_2 \sqcap K_1) = \emptyset,$$

and

$$(K_1 \sqcap K_2) \cup (K_2 \sqcap K_1) = K_1 \cap K_2.$$

Remark 2.1. By the definition, one can show that for all $u \in C_{K_1}$, if $u \in K_2 \setminus C_{K_2}$, then $u \in K_1 \sqcap K_2$.



Figure 3: (x, y_1) and (x, y_2)

Remark 2.2. Let $(x, y) \in K_1 \cap K_2$, and $y_i = \max\{z : (x, z) \in C_{K_i}\}$, $i = 1, 2$. If $(x, y) \in K_1 \sqcap K_2$, then $y_1 \leq y_2$. Conversely, if $y_1 < y_2$, then $(x, y) \in K_1 \sqcap K_2$. (see Figure 3)

Remark 2.3. Let $(x, y) \in K_1 \cap K_2$, and $y_i = \max\{z : (x, z) \in C_{K_i}\}$, $i = 1, 2$. If $y_1 = y_2$, then we have $(x, y) \in K_1 \sqcap K_2$ if and only if $K_1 \cap R_x \subset K_2 \cap R_x$. (see Figure 4)



Figure 4: $(x, y_1) = (x, y_2)$

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that K_1, K_2 and K_3 are any three distinct translative copies of K. We have*

$$(K_1 \sqcap K_2) \cap (K_2 \sqcap K_3) \subseteq K_1 \sqcap K_3.$$

Proof. Suppose that $(x, y) \in (K_1 \sqcap K_2) \cap (K_2 \sqcap K_3)$ and $y_i = \max\{z : (x, z) \in C_{K_i}\}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. From the first part of Remark 2.2, we have $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq y_3$. If $y_1 < y_2$ or $y_2 < y_3$, then $y_1 < y_3$, by the second part of Remark 2.2, we know that $(x, y) \in K_1 \sqcap K_3$. Now we assume that $y_1 = y_2 = y_3$. From Remark 2.3,

since $(x, y) \in K_1 \sqcap K_2$ and $(x, y) \in K_2 \sqcap K_3$, we know that $K_1 \cap R_x \subset K_2 \cap R_x$ and $K_2 \cap R_x \subset K_3 \cap R_x$. Hence $K_1 \cap R_x \subset K_3 \cap R_x$. Once again, by Remark 2.3, since $(x, y) \in K_1 \cap K_3$, we have $(x, y) \in K_1 \sqcap K_3$. □

Let K' and K'' be any two distinct translative copies of K, we define *cut operator* $\ominus$ by

$$K' \ominus K'' = K' \setminus (K' \sqcap K'').$$

By definition, one can see that

$$(K' \ominus K'') \cap (K'' \ominus K') = \emptyset,$$

and

$$(K' \ominus K'') \cup (K'' \ominus K') = K' \cup K''.$$



Figure 5: $K' \ominus K''$ and $K'' \ominus K'$

Remark 2.5. When $u = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we define $\tilde{L}_u = \{(x, y) : x < x_0, y \geq y_0\}$ and $\tilde{R}_u = \{(x, y) : x \geq x_0, y \geq y_0\}$. By the definition of cut operator, one can show that there must be $u \in K'$ such that either $K' \ominus K'' = K' \setminus \tilde{L}_u$ or $K' \ominus K'' = K' \setminus \tilde{R}_u$. (see Figure 6)



Figure 6: Types of Cut Operator

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that K_1, K_2 and K_3 are any three distinct translative copies of K. We have*

$$(K_1 \ominus K_2) \cap (K_2 \ominus K_3) \subseteq K_1 \ominus K_3.$$

Proof. Let $u \in (K_1 \ominus K_2) \cap (K_2 \ominus K_3)$. Since $u \in K_1 \ominus K_2$ and $u \in K_2 \ominus K_3$, we know that $u \in K_1$ and $u \in K_2$, respectively. From $u \in K_1 \ominus K_2$, we also know that $u \notin K_1 \sqcap K_2$. This implies that $u \in K_2 \sqcap K_1$.

For the case $u \notin K_3$, since $u \in K_1$, it is obvious that $u \in K_1 \ominus K_3$. Now assume that $u \in K_3$. Because u also lie in K_2 and $K_2 \ominus K_3$, we know that $u \in K_3 \sqcap K_2$. Therefore $u \in (K_3 \sqcap K_2) \cap (K_2 \sqcap K_1)$. By Lemma 2.4, we have $u \in K_3 \sqcap K_1$, and hence $u \in K_1 \ominus K_3$. □

Stair Polygon

For any real numbers x, x', y, y', denote by $L(x, y, x', y')$ the line segment between (x, y) and (x', y'). Let $x_1^u < x_2^u < \cdots < x_{m+1}^u$ and $x_1^u = x_1^d < x_2^d < \cdots < x_{n+1}^d = x_{m+1}^u$. Suppose that $y_1^u < \cdots < y_s^u, y_s^u > \cdots > y_m^u$ and $y_1^u > y_1^d > \cdots > y_t^d, y_t^d < \cdots < y_n^d < y_m^u$. Let S be a polygon with the sides

$$L(x_1^u, y_1^u, x_1^d, y_1^d), L(x_{m+1}^u, y_m^u, x_{n+1}^d, y_n^d),$$

$$L(x_1^u, y_1^u, x_2^u, y_1^u), L(x_2^u, y_1^u, x_2^u, y_2^u), L(x_2^u, y_2^u, x_3^u, y_2^u), \ldots, L(x_m^u, y_m^u, x_{m+1}^u, y_m^u),$$

and

$$L(x_1^d, y_1^d, x_2^d, y_1^d), L(x_2^d, y_1^d, x_2^d, y_2^d), L(x_2^d, y_2^d, x_3^d, y_2^d), \ldots, L(x_n^d, y_n^d, x_{n+1}^d, y_n^d).$$

If S is a simple polygon, then we call S a $(s-1, m-s, t-1, n-t)$ *stair polygon.*



Figure 7: (l^u, r^u, l^d, r^d) Stair Polygons

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that S is a $(l, r, 0, 0)$ stair polygon. If S can tile the plane (i.e., there exists a discrete set X such that $S + X$ is a tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$), then $l \leq 1$ and $r \leq 1$.*



Figure 8: a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 and d_1, d_2, d_3

Proof. If $l \cdot r = 0$, then it is easy to show that $l \leq 1$ and $r \leq 1$. If $l \cdot r \neq 0$, then we may assume, without loss of generality, that $l \geq 1$ and $r > 1$. The vertices a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 of S and the length d_1, d_2, d_3 of sides of S are denoted as presented in Figure 8. Since $S + X$ is a tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$, there exists a $v_1 \in X$ such that $b_1 = a_1 + v_1$. Hence, there must exit a $v_2 \in X$ such that $b_2 = a_2 + v_2$. This immediately implies that $d_1 \leq d_2 + d_3$ which is impossible. Therefore $l = 0$ or $r \leq 1$. If $l = 0$ or $r = 0$, then it follows that $l \leq 1$ and $r \leq 1$. If $r = 1$, then by the similar reason as above, we can obtain $l \leq 1$. This completes the proof. □



Figure 9: $a_i^{(1)}, a_i^{(2)}, \ldots, a_i^{(l_i^d+1)}$ and $b_i^{(1)}, b_i^{(2)}, \ldots, b_i^{(r_i^u)}$

Lemma 2.8. *Let S_i be a $(l_i^u, r_i^u, l_i^d, r_i^d)$ stair polygon, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. If $\{S_i\}$ is a tiling of lI^2 for some $l > 0$, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i^u \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i^d + N - 1.$$

Proof. The vertices $a_i^{(1)}, a_i^{(2)}, \ldots, a_i^{(l_i^d+1)}, b_i^{(1)}, b_i^{(2)}, \ldots, b_i^{(r_i^u)}$ of S_i are defined as presented in Figure 9. One can see that for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, r_i^u\}$, there must exist $s \in \{1, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, N\}$ and $t \in \{1, \ldots, l_s^d+1\}$

such that $b_i^{(j)} = a_s^{(t)}$. Furthermore, $b_i^{(j)} \neq (-l, -l)$ for all i, j, whereas $a_s^{(t)} = (-l, -l)$ for some s, t. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i^u \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} (l_i^d + 1) - 1$. □

Maximum Area of Stair Polygon Contained in K

Denote by $A(l^u, r^u, l^d, r^d)$ the supremum area of (l^u, r^u, l^d, r^d) stair polygon contained in K. It is clear that $A(l^u, r^u, l^d, r^d) = A(0, r^u, 0, 0)$, so we may define $A(r) = A(0, r, 0, 0)$. Obviously, $A(r)$ is non-decreasing with respect to r. Furthermore, one can show that there must be a $(0, r', 0, 0)$ stair polygon S with $r' \leq r$ such that $|S| = A(r)$.

Let $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{r+1} \leq 1$. Denote by $S(x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1})$ the stair polygon with vertices

$$(0, 0), (0, f(x_1)), (x_{r+1}, 0),$$

and

$$(x_1, f(x_1)), (x_1, f(x_2)), (x_2, f(x_2)), \ldots, (x_r, f(x_{r+1})), (x_{r+1}, f(x_{r+1})).$$

Note that $S(x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1})$ is a $(0, r', 0, 0)$ stair polygon, for some $r' \leq r$. One can prove that for all r, there must exist $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{r+1} \leq 1$ such that $|S(x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1})| = A(r)$.



Figure 10: $S(x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1})$

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose that* $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_r \leq 1$ *and* $0 < x'_1 < \cdots < x'_{r'} \leq 1$, *where* $r + r'$ *is an even number. Let* $(z_1, \ldots, z_{r+r'})$ *is the rearrangement of* $(x_1, \ldots, x_r, x'_1, \ldots, x'_{r'})$, *where* $z_1 \leq \cdots \leq z_{r+r'}$, *then*

$$|S(x_1, \ldots, x_r)| + |S(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{r'})| \leq |S(z_1, z_3 \ldots, z_{r+r'-1})| + |S(z_2, z_4, \ldots, z_{r+r'})|$$

Proof. Obviously, we have

$$S(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \cup S(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{r'}) = S(z_1, z_3 \ldots, z_{r+r'-1}) \cup S(z_2, z_4, \ldots, z_{r+r'}).$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle, it suffices to show that

$$S(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \cap S(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{r'}) \subseteq S(z_1, z_3 \ldots, z_{r+r'-1}) \cap S(z_2, z_4, \ldots, z_{r+r'}),$$

i.e.,

$$S(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \cap S(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{r'}) \subseteq S(z_1, z_3 \ldots, z_{r+r'-1})$$

and

$$S(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \cap S(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{r'}) \subseteq S(z_2, z_4, \ldots, z_{r+r'}).$$

Let $(x, y) \in S(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \cap S(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{r'})$. One can see that we have $x \leq z_{r+r'-1} \leq z_{r+r'}$ and $y \leq f(z_2) \leq f(z_1)$. To complete the proof, we only need to show that $x \leq z_i$ or $y \leq f(z_{i+2})$, for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r + r' - 2$. In fact, if $x > z_i$ and $y > f(z_{i+2})$, then there must exist $z_i < z < z_{i+2}$ and $z_i < z' < z_{i+2}$ such that $(z, f(z))$ is a vertex of $S(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ and $(z', f(z'))$ is a vertex of $S(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{r'})$. By the definition of z_i, one can see that this is impossible. □

Lemma 2.10. *$A(r)$ is concave, i.e. $A(r) + A(r') \leq 2A\left(\frac{r+r'}{2}\right)$, where $r + r'$ is even.*

Proof. This immediately follows from Lemma 2.9. □

Note that we can define a non-decreasing concave function B on $[0, +\infty)$ such that $B(r) = A(r)$, for all $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. For convenience, we also denote the function B by A.

Lattice Covering Density of K

Let X be a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose that $K + X = \{K_i\}$ is a covering of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We can assume, without loss of generality, that $K_i \neq K_j$ for all $i \neq j$, and for every $l > 0$ there are finite numbers of i such that $K_i \cap lI^2 \neq \emptyset$. For any fixed i, let

$$T_i = \bigcup_{j \neq i} (K_i \sqcap K_j),$$

and

$$S'_i = K_i \setminus T_i.$$

We note that $S'_i = \bigcap_{j:j\neq i} K_i \ominus K_j$. Let S_i be the closure of S'_i. Obviously, $S_i \subseteq K_i$.

Lemma 2.11. *$\{S_i\}$ is a tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and S_i is a $(l_i, r_i, 0, 0)$ stair polygon, for each i.*

Proof. We will first prove that S_i is a stair polygon. By our hypothesis (i.e.,for every $l > 0$ there are finite numbers of i such that $K_i \cap lI^2 \neq \emptyset$), we can deduce that for every K_i, there exist finite numbers of K_j such that $K_i \cap K_j \neq \emptyset$. Assume that

$$\{K_j : K_j \cap K_i \neq \emptyset, j \neq i\} = \{K_{i_1}, \ldots, K_{i_N}\}.$$

By the definition, we have

$$S'_i = K_i \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} (K_i \sqcap K_{i_k})$$

We assert that

$$C_{K_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} (K_i \sqcap K_{i_k}).$$

In fact, if there is a $u \in C_{K_i} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{N}(K_i \sqcap K_{i_k})$, then from Remark 2.1, we know that for each i_k, either $u \in C_{K_{i_k}}$ or $u \notin K_{i_k}$. This implies that there exists an efficiently small number $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $0 < t < 1$, $u+(t\epsilon, t\epsilon) \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} K_{i_k}$. On the other hand, since $\{K_i\}$ is a covering of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we know that

$$\{u + (t\epsilon, t\epsilon) : 0 < t < 1\} \subset \bigcup_{j:K_j \cap K_i = \emptyset} K_j.$$

However, we cannot use finite numbers of K_j to cover the line segment $\{u + (t\epsilon, t\epsilon) : 0 < t < 1\}$ without intersect with K_i. This violates our hypothesis. Therefore $C_{K_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{N}(K_i \sqcap K_{i_k})$, i.e., $C_{K_i} \cap S_i' = \emptyset$. By combining this with Remark 2.5, we obtain that S_i must be a $(l_i, r_i, 0, 0)$ stair polygon. Here, we note that S_i cannot be an empty set.

Now let $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By the hypothesis, there exist only finite numbers of K_i such that $v \in K_i$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\{K_1, \ldots, K_N\}$ are all of K_i that contain v. For $i = 1, \ldots, N$, let

$$D_i = \{j : v \in K_i \ominus K_j, j \neq i\}.$$

Let i_0 be the index such that $d_{i_0} = card\{D_{i_0}\}$ is maximum. If $d_{i_0} < N - 1$, then assume that

$$D_{i_0} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_{d_{i_0}}\}.$$

Choose $j \in \{1, \ldots, i_0 - 1, i_0 + 1, \ldots, N\} \setminus D_{i_0}$. Since $v \notin K_{i_0} \ominus K_j$, we know that $v \in K_j \ominus K_{i_0}$. On the other hand, $v \in K_{i_0} \ominus K_{j_k}$, for all $k = 1, \ldots, d_{i_0}$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $v \in K_j \ominus K_{j_k}$, for $k = 1, \ldots, d_{i_0}$. This implies that $D_{i_0} \cup \{i_0\} \subseteq D_j$, i.e., $card\{D_{i_0}\} < card\{D_j\}$. This is a contradiction. Hence $d_{i_0} = N - 1$. We note that for j that $v \notin K_j$, we have $v \in K_{i_0} \ominus K_j$. It follows that $v \in \bigcap_{j:j \neq i_0} K_{i_0} \ominus K_j = S_{i_0}' \subset S_{i_0}$. □

For the lattice covering case, i.e. $X = \Lambda$ is a lattice, S_i and S_j can coincide with each other by translation, for every i, j. Thus we may assume that S_i is a translative copy of S, for all i, where S is a $(l, r, 0, 0)$ stair polygon. This implies that S can tile the plane. By Lemma 2.7, we know that $l \leq 1$ and $r \leq 1$. Hence $|S| \leq A(1)$. Furthermore, one can easily show that the density of $K + \Lambda$ is $\frac{|K|}{|S|}$. This immediately implies that $\vartheta_L(K) \geq \frac{|K|}{A(1)}$. On the other hand, there is a $(0, r, 0, 0)$ stair polygon S contained in K with $r \leq 1$ such that $|S| = A(1)$. It is not hard to see that S can tile the plane. Assume that $S + \Lambda'$ is a tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Obviously, $K + \Lambda'$ is a lattice covering of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with density $\frac{|K|}{|S|} = \frac{|K|}{A(1)}$. We have thus proved

Lemma 2.12. $\vartheta_L(K) = \frac{|K|}{A(1)}$.

3 Proof of Main Theorem

By (1) and (2), one can see that if Theorem 1.2 is not true, then there exists a sufficiently large number l such that

$$\frac{m(K,l)|K|}{|lI^2|} < \vartheta_L(K).$$

Thus it suffices to show that if $lI^2 \subseteq K + X$ for some $l > 0$ and finite subset X of $\mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$\frac{card\{X\}|K|}{|lI^2|} \geq \vartheta_L(K),$$

i.e.(by Lemma 2.12),

$$card\{X\}A(1) \geq |lI^2|. \tag{4}$$

To prove this, assume that $card\{X\} = N$ and $K + X = \{K_1, \ldots, K_N\}$, we define

$$S_i' = lI^2 \cap \bigcap_{j:j\neq i} (K_i \ominus K_j).$$

Let $S_i = \overline{S_i'}$. Obviously $S_i \subseteq K_i$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $S_i \neq \emptyset$ for all i. Similar to Lemma 2.11, one can show that $\{S_i\}$ is a tiling of lI^2 and S_i is a $(l_i, r_i, 0, 0)$ stair polygon, for each i. By Lemma 2.8, we know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i \leq N - 1.$$

By the properties of function A, we have

$$|lI^2| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |S_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} A(r_i) \leq N \cdot A\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i}{N}\right) \leq N \cdot A\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right) \leq N \cdot A(1).$$

This completes the proof.

4 Applications

It is well known that ϑ_T and ϑ_L all are affinely invariant.

Theorem 4.1. *For any triangle K, $\vartheta_T(K) = \vartheta_L(K)$.*

Proof. There is an affine transformation from the triangle K to the triangle of vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ □

Theorem 4.2. *For any quadrilateral K, $\vartheta_T(K) = \vartheta_L(K)$.*

Proof. There is an affine transformation from the quadrilateral K to the quadrilateral of vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$, $(0,1)$ and (x,y), where $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $0 \leq y \leq 1$ and $x + y \geq 1$. □

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by 973 programs 2013CB834201 and 2011CB302401.

References

[1] Januszewski, J.: Covering the Plane with Translates of a Triangle. Discrete Comput. Geom.**43**, 167–178 (2010)

[2] Rogers, C.A.: Packing and Covering. Cambridge university press, pp. 21–32 (1964)

[3] Dowker, C.H.: On minimum circumscribed polygon. Bull. AMS 50, 120-122 (1944)

[4] Fejes Tóth, L.: Regular Figures. Pergamon. New York (1964)

[5] Fejes Tóth, L.: Some packing and covering theorems. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **12**, 62–67 (1950)

[6] Brass, P., Moser, W., Pach, J.: Research Problems in Discrete Geometry. Springer, New York (2005)